
Particle Physics in a Season of Change


Chris Quigg Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory



ETH · Zürich · 23 January 2014




Very-High-Rate Experiments



The Allure of Ultrasensitive Experiments Fermilab Academic Lectures

Before LHC Po: Two New Laws of Nature +

Pointlike ($r \le 10^{-18}$ m) quarks and leptons



Interactions: $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ gauge symmetries

Quantum Chromodynamics


Asymptotically free theory

Many successes in perturbation theory to I TeV


Growing understanding: nonperturbative regime Quarks & gluons confined: evidence, no proof

No structural defects, but strong CP problem


Evolution of the strong coupling "constant"



Jet Production




The World's Most Powerful Microscopes nanonanophysics




Transverse momenta: I.8 TeV + I.8 TeV · Dijet mass: 4 TeV

Rutherford scattering test for quark compositeness



$$\chi \equiv \frac{1 + \cos \theta^*}{1 - \cos \theta^*}$$

Hadron masses from (2+1)-flavor LQCD





sum of parts



rest energy

Nucleon mass: exemplar of $m = E_0/c^2$ up and down quarks contribute few %

$$3 \frac{m_u + m_d}{2} = 10 \pm 2 \text{ MeV}$$

 χ PT: $M_N \rightarrow 870$ MeV for massless quarks

Lattice QCD: quark-confinement origin of nucleon mass has explained nearly all visible mass in the Universe

(Quark masses ensure $M_p < M_n$)

NGC 1365 · DES

QCD could be complete, up to M_{Planck} ... but that doesn't prove it must be Prepare for surprises!

How might QCD Crack?

(Breakdown of factorization)
Free quarks / unconfined color
New kinds of colored matter
Quark compositeness
Larger color symmetry containing QCD

New phenomena within QCD?

Multiple production beyond diffraction + short-range order?

High density of few-GeV partons ... thermalization?

Long-range correlations in y?

Unusual event structures ...


Look at events in informative coordinates. More is to be learned from the river of events than from a few specimens!

Correlations among the partons?

A proton knows it is a proton.


Single-spin asymmetries imply correlations.

What else?



Can we distinguish different configurations? Interplay with multiple-parton interactions?

Electroweak Symmetry Breaking



Interactions: $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ gauge symmetries

Electroweak Theory

To good approximation ...

3-generation V—A


GIM suppresses FCNC

CKM quark-mixing matrix describes CPV


Tested as quantum field theory at per-mille level

Gauge symmetry validated in $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$

LEP validated secret SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y symmetry



Electroweak theory anticipates discoveries



A hitherto unknown agent hides electroweak symmetry

- *A force of a new character, based on interactions of an elementary scalar
- *A new gauge force, perhaps acting on undiscovered constituents
- *A residual force that emerges from strong dynamics among electroweak gauge bosons
- * An echo of extra spacetime dimensions

The Importance of the I-TeV Scale

EW theory does not predict Higgs-boson mass Thought experiment: conditional upper bound


W⁺W⁻, ZZ, HH, HZ satisfy s-wave unitarity,

provided
$$M_H \leq (8\pi\sqrt{2}/3G_F)^{1/2} \approx 1 \text{ TeV}$$

- If bound is respected, perturbation theory is "everywhere" reliable
- If not, weak interactions among W^{\pm} , Z, H become strong on I-TeV scale


New phenomena are to be found around I TeV

H couplings to W, Z tested indirectly




BSM: Heavy Higgs allowed, even natural

LHC can search in many channels




 $\gamma\gamma$, WW^* , ZZ^* , $\tau^+\tau^-$, b pairs, ...

Evolution of ATLAS YY Signal




Evolution of CMS 4-lepton Signal




ATLAS

Signal / Standard Model

CMS





Why does discovering the agent matter?



Imagine a world without a symmetry-breaking (Higgs) mechanism at the electroweak scale

Electron and quarks would have no mass QCD would confine quarks into protons, etc. Nucleon mass little changed Surprise: QCD would hide EW symmetry, give tiny masses to W, Z Massless electron: atoms lose integrity No atoms means no chemistry, no stable composite structures like liquids, solids, no template for life.

arXiv:0901.3958

Fully accounts for EWSB (W, Z couplings)? Couples to fermions? Top from production, need direct observation for b, T Accounts for fermion masses? Fermion couplings ∝ masses? Are there others? Quantum numbers? $(J^P = 0^+)$ SM branching fractions to gauge bosons? Decays to new particles? All production modes as expected? Implications of $M_H \approx 126 \text{ GeV}$? Any sign of new strong dynamics?

Parameters of the Standard Model


```
coupling parameters \alpha_s, \alpha_{\sf em}, \sin^2 \theta_W
3
      parameters of the Higgs potential
      vacuum phase (QCD)
                                Flavor physics may be where we see, or diagnose,
6
      quark masses
3
      quark mixing angles
                                the break in the SM.
1
3
3
1
      CP-violating phase
      charged-lepton masses
      neutrino masses
      leptonic mixing angles
      Ieptonic CP-violating phase (+ Majorana . . . )
      arbitrary parameters
```

Puzzle: The Meaning of Identity

What makes a top quark a top quark, an electron an electron, a neutrino a neutrino?

Neutrino oscillations give us another take. Clue to matter excess in the universe?


Might new kinds of matter unlock the pattern?




Why does the muon weigh? gauge symmetry allows

$$\zeta_e \left[(\bar{e}_L \Phi) e_R + \bar{e}_R (\Phi^\dagger e_L) \right] \leadsto m_e = \zeta_e v / \sqrt{2}$$
 after SSB

Charged Fermion Masses




Quark family patterns: generations




Veltman: Higgs boson knows something we don't know!

Neutrino Masses and Mixings




Neutrino Masses




Normal: light solar pair

Inverted: heavy solar pair

Neutrino family patterns



Reactor Neutrino Anomaly?



Will the fermion masses and mixings reveal symmetries or dynamics or principles?


What is CP violation trying to tell us?

Some questions now seem to us the wrong questions: Kepler's obsession – Why six planets in those orbits?

Landscape interpretation as environmental parameters

Might still hope to find equivalent of Kepler's Laws!

Does M_H < I TeV make sense? The peril of quantum corrections



Puzzle #1: Expect New Physics on TeV scale to stabilize Higgs mass, solve hierarchy problem, but no quantitative failures of EW theory

Puzzle #2: Expect New Physics on TeV scale to stabilize Higgs mass, solve hierarchy problem, but no sign of flavor-changing neutral currents Minimal flavor violation a name, not yet an answer


Great interest in searches for forbidden or suppressed processes

Rare Processes: Flavor-changing neutral currents

SM: BR(B_s
$$\rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}) = (3.56 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-9}$$

MSSM: BR(B_s
$$\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$
) $\propto \frac{m_b^2 m_t^2}{M_A^4} \tan^6 \beta$

$(B^0, B_s) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$





LHCb+ CMS: BR(B_s $\rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}) = (2.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-9}$

The unreasonable effectiveness of the standard model

Electric dipole moment de

 $d_e < 8.7 \times 10^{-29} e \cdot cm$

ACME Collaboration, ThO

ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits

partial data


full data

full data

Status: SUSY 2013

ATLAS Preliminary

 $\int \mathcal{L} dt = (4.6 - 22.9) \text{ fb}^{-1}$ $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8 \text{ TeV}$



WAGER ON SUPERSYMMETRY

for ten years ahead

QUESTION: Do you think that in ten years from now, that is by noon C.E.T. June 21st, 2010, at least one supersymmetric partner of any of the known particles will be experimentally discovered? [The term "discovered" means that it is universally recognized by the community, as judged by an independent committee of three wise men/ladies appointed by the sides.]

Please put your name (in block letters) accompanied by your signature in one of the three columns below, marked as "yes", "no" or "abstained".

By signing "yes" or "no" you promise to deliver a bottle (75cl) of good cognac at a price of not less than \$50, in case you are wrong.

By signing "abstained" you acknowledge that you either do not care, or have not thought about it, but still you'd like to be informed in the year 2010 who has been a prophet ten years ago, and to gain the right to sheepishly participate in drinking the cognac purchased by those who have honorably lost the bet.

Your signature in one of the first two columns entitles you to ask for a copy of the present agreement.

The party of winners organizes a meeting of all involved in this wager not later than in June 2011. At this meeting the cognac bought by the losers will be jointly consumed.

| Yes, SUSY partners will be discovered | No, they won't | abstained |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------|
| SEMENOFF AND | Pleter Orland Pet 50 V | MAKEENKO |
| kog au ** | Heins | Neuberger |
| Ju Ansjon | FADDEEN | |
| D.S.Berman | C 1 North *) |) |
| Kingery Lee | a.C. Rossi | |
| | P. H. Damgaan | |
| | E Livits is I Mislaustin | |
| I. Klebanoz | | |

(continue signatures on the other side, if necessary) Magney-Mago


(. Hofman

Godger Beck Hocken

*) But each side will claim victory

**) But it may be not as exciting as if neither susy, nor

Dark matter: direct searches



Dark matter searches and nucleon structure

Scale of SUSY expectations set by (spin-independent) of

Neutralino WIMP: σ attributed to Higgs exchange


How does H interact with nucleon? H coupling to heavy flavors: s, b, \ldots

x 2-3 variation among lattice calculations

Experimental attention, perhaps theoretical reconception

A Unified Theory?


Why are atoms so remarkably neutral?




Coupling constant unification?

Extended quark-lepton families: proton decay!


Unification of Forces?



Might LHC see the change in evolution?




Might LHC see the change in evolution?



Wonderful progress ... but miles to go:

Beam energy x 2 Luminosity x 100

Ratios of Parton Luminosities



Cf. <u>Stirlin</u>





- I. What is the agent of EWSB? Is there a Higgs boson? Might there be several?
- 2. Is the Higgs boson elementary or composite? How does it interact with itself? What triggers EWSB?
- 3. Does the Higgs boson give mass to fermions, or only to the weak bosons? What sets the masses and mixings of the quarks and leptons? (How) is fermion mass related to the electroweak scale?
- 4. Are there new flavor symmetries that give insights into fermion masses and mixings?
- 5. What stabilizes the Higgs-boson mass below I TeV?

- 6. Do the different CC behaviors of LH, RH fermions reflect a fundamental asymmetry in nature's laws?
- 7. What will be the next symmetry we recognize? Are there additional heavy gauge bosons? Is nature supersymmetric? Is EW theory contained in a GUT?
- 8. Are all flavor-changing interactions governed by the standard-model Yukawa couplings? Does "minimal flavor violation" hold? If so, why?
- 9. Are there additional sequential quark & lepton generations? Or new exotic (vector-like) fermions? 10. What resolves the strong CP problem?

- II. What are the dark matters? Any flavor structure?
- 12. Is EWSB an emergent phenomenon connected with strong dynamics? How would that alter our conception of unified theories of the strong, weak, and electromagnetic interactions?
- 13. Is EWSB related to gravity through extra spacetime dimensions?
- 14. What resolves the vacuum energy problem?
- 15. (When we understand the origin of EWSB), what lessons does EWSB hold for unified theories? ... for inflation? ... for dark energy?

- 16. What explains the baryon asymmetry of the universe? Are there new (CC) CP-violating phases? 17. Are there new flavor-preserving phases? What would observation, or more stringent limits, on electric-dipole moments imply for BSM theories? 18. (How) are quark-flavor dynamics and lepton-flavor dynamics related (beyond the gauge interactions)? 19. At what scale are the neutrino masses set? Do they speak to the TeV scale, unification scale, Planck scale, ...?
- 20. How are we prisoners of conventional thinking?